



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/798,580

03/10/2004

Arnold Blinn

MS#304543.01 (5101)

6335

38779 7590 07/28/2009  
SENNIGER POWERS LLP (MSFT)  
100 NORTH BROADWAY  
17TH FLOOR  
ST. LOUIS, MO 63102

EXAMINER

SHAIFER HARRIMAN, DANT B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2434

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/28/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

|   |   |                                     |  |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/798,580          | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>BLINN ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>DANT B. SHAFER<br>HARRIMAN | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2434             |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) DANT B. SHAFER HARRIMAN.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 July 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) Frank Agovino.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 3pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1, 15, 20, 22, 30, 35*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kambiz Zand/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The substance of the interview as the following;

The examiner explained to applicant that claim 20 contains allowable subject matter, and should have been indicated in the office action dated 04/13/2009, but wasn't due to a typo in the office action. The examiner also explained the examiners comments on page 5 of the office action dated 04/13/2009, that if claim 20 is amended into claim 19 and claim 19 is amended into claim 15, this would make independent claim allowable subject matter. The examiner further indicated that if the subject matter of applicants specification, specifically paragraphs 0020, and 0043, 0073 were amended in to independent claims 1, 22, 30, 35, they also would contain allowable subject matter. The examiner suggested an examiner amendment to this effect, and applicant replied that he would have to obtain permission from client before proceeding to execute the examiners suggested amendments to facilitate the examiners amendment to move the application to allowance.